Application No. Applicant(s) 10/673,486 **GUVEN ET AL.** Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Brian J. Broadhead 3661 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Brad C. Rametta. (3) (1) Brian J. Broadhead. (2) Ryan C. Stockett. Zes (4)____. Date of Interview: 14 June 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: Prahbu et al.. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative pointed out that the current invention limits the load applied while Prabhe et al. adjusts the engine output to account for increased load. It appears the current invention distinguishes over Prabhu et al.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form	
Application No.: 10/673,486 First Named Applicant: Mustafa K. Guven Status of Application: Pending	Examiner: <u>Broadhead, Brian J.</u>
Tentative Participants:	
() =	Ryan C. Stockett
(3) <u>Brad C. Rametta</u> (4)	
Proposed Date of Interview: June 14, 2005 Proposed Time: 10:00 AM	
Type of Interview Requested:	☐ Telephonic☑ Personal☐ Video Conference
Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated?	☐ Yes ☑ No
If yes, provide brief description:	
Issues to b	Discussed
issues	
(Rej., Obj. etc.) <u>Claims/Fig. #s</u> <u>Prior Art</u>	Discussed Agreed Not Agreed
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et 2.	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et 2.	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Preparabu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim	
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1, 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Prescription of Arguments to be P	esented: elements of at least Independent Claims 1.
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2 3 4 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Arguments to be Prepahbu et al. fails to disclose all of the claim 10, 16.	esented: nelements of at least Independent Claims 1. re-identified application on: 6/19/05. replicant and submitted to the Examiner in 01). This application will not be delayed file a statement of the substance of this
etc.) Claims/Fig. #s Prior Art 1. Rej. 1. 10, 16 Prahbu et 2	esented: nelements of at least Independent Claims 1. re-identified application on: 6/19/05. replicant and submitted to the Examiner in 01). This application will not be delayed file a statement of the substance of this